Jet and arc spaces from a commutative algebra point of view

Eric Walker cew028@uark.edu

CARES

11 March 2021

# Outline

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ - 三 - のへぐ

Topics:

- Functors of points
- Definition of jets and arcs
- Examples of jets and arcs
- Characterization of jets and arcs
- Jet/arc schemes

# Outline

Topics:

- Functors of points
- Definition of jets and arcs
- Examples of jets and arcs
- Characterization of jets and arcs
- Jet/arc schemes

Conventions:

- k is a field (you're welcome to think **C**, but the characteristic doesn't matter)
- $R, S, T \in Alg_k$  (you're welcome to think of finite type, i.e.,  $k[x_1, \ldots, x_n]/(f_1, \ldots, f_s))$
- $m \in \mathbf{N}$
- For a category  $\mathcal{C}, X \in \mathcal{C}$  means X is an object of  $\mathcal{C}$
- I suppress the noodly hypotheses

Given a k-algebra R, the mth jet/the arc is another k-algebra. Rather than define them directly, they are defined via their functors of points.

Given a k-algebra R, the mth jet/the arc is another k-algebra. Rather than define them directly, they are defined via their functors of points.

< ロ > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > < ○ < ○ </p>

Given a k-algebra R, the mth jet/the arc is another k-algebra. Rather than define them directly, they are defined via their functors of points.

Consider a functor  $\operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{Alg}_k}(T, -)$ . This is a functor of points and completely determines the k-algebra T.

1 Why should this deserve to be called a "functor of points?"

Given a k-algebra R, the mth jet/the arc is another k-algebra. Rather than define them directly, they are defined via their functors of points.

- 1 Why should this deserve to be called a "functor of points?"
  - Consider **Top**. Let  $X \in$  **Top**.

Given a k-algebra R, the mth jet/the arc is another k-algebra. Rather than define them directly, they are defined via their functors of points.

- 1 Why should this deserve to be called a "functor of points?"
  - Consider **Top**. Let  $X \in$  **Top**.
  - The points of X are the same as all maps in Hom<sub>Top</sub>({\*}, X)

Given a k-algebra R, the mth jet/the arc is another k-algebra. Rather than define them directly, they are defined via their functors of points.

- 1 Why should this deserve to be called a "functor of points?"
  - Consider **Top**. Let  $X \in$  **Top**.
  - The points of X are the same as all maps in Hom<sub>Top</sub>({\*}, X)
  - We can be more general though:

Given a k-algebra R, the mth jet/the arc is another k-algebra. Rather than define them directly, they are defined via their functors of points.

- 1 Why should this deserve to be called a "functor of points?"
  - Consider **Top**. Let  $X \in$  **Top**.
  - The points of X are the same as all maps in Hom<sub>Top</sub>({\*}, X)
  - We can be more general though:
    - The "interval-points" of X are  $\operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{Top}}(I, X)$ . (The paths!)

Given a k-algebra R, the mth jet/the arc is another k-algebra. Rather than define them directly, they are defined via their functors of points.

- 1 Why should this deserve to be called a "functor of points?"
  - Consider **Top**. Let  $X \in$  **Top**.
  - The points of X are the same as all maps in Hom<sub>Top</sub>({\*}, X)
  - We can be more general though:
    - The "interval-points" of X are  $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{Top}}(I, X)$ . (The paths!)
    - The "S<sup>1</sup>-points" of X are  $\operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{Top}}(S^1, X)$ . (The loops!)

Given a k-algebra R, the mth jet/the arc is another k-algebra. Rather than define them directly, they are defined via their functors of points.

- 1 Why should this deserve to be called a "functor of points?"
  - Consider **Top**. Let  $X \in$  **Top**.
  - The points of X are the same as all maps in Hom<sub>Top</sub>({\*}, X)
  - We can be more general though:
    - The "interval-points" of X are  $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{Top}}(I, X)$ . (The paths!)
    - The "S<sup>1</sup>-points" of X are  $\operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{Top}}(S^1, X)$ . (The loops!)
    - The "Y-valued-points" of X are  $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{Top}}(Y, X)$ , for any Y.

2 Why should  $\operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{Alg}_k}(T, -)$  completely determine T?

- 2 Why should  $\operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{Alg}_k}(T, -)$  completely determine T?
  - Again, think  $X \in \text{Top}$ . Most homeomorphism-invariants we know look like  $\text{Hom}_{\text{Top}}(-, X)$ :

- 2 Why should  $\operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{Alg}_k}(T, -)$  completely determine T?
  - Again, think  $X \in \text{Top}$ . Most homeomorphism-invariants we know look like  $\text{Hom}_{\text{Top}}(-, X)$ :
    - Cardinality:  $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{Top}}(\{*\}, X)$

- 2 Why should  $\operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{Alg}_k}(T, -)$  completely determine T?
  - Again, think  $X \in \text{Top}$ . Most homeomorphism-invariants we know look like  $\text{Hom}_{\text{Top}}(-, X)$ :
    - Cardinality:  $\operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{Top}}(\{*\}, X)$
    - Path components:  $\operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{Top}}(I, X) / \sim$

< ロ > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > < ○ < ○ </p>

- 2 Why should  $\operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{Alg}_k}(T, -)$  completely determine T?
  - Again, think  $X \in \text{Top}$ . Most homeomorphism-invariants we know look like  $\text{Hom}_{\text{Top}}(-, X)$ :
    - Cardinality:  $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{Top}}(\{*\}, X)$
    - Path components:  $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{Top}}(I, X) / \sim$
    - Fundamental groups: Hom<sub>**Top**</sub> $(S^1, X) / \sim$

< ロ > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > < ○ < ○ </p>

- 2 Why should  $\operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{Alg}_k}(T, -)$  completely determine T?
  - Again, think  $X \in \text{Top}$ . Most homeomorphism-invariants we know look like  $\text{Hom}_{\text{Top}}(-, X)$ :
    - Cardinality:  $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{Top}}(\{*\}, X)$
    - Path components:  $\operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{Top}}(I, X) / \sim$
    - Fundamental groups:  $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{Top}}(S^1, X)/\sim$
    - Higher homotopy groups:  $\operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{Top}}(S^i, X)/\sim$

< ロ > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > < ○ < ○ </p>

- 2 Why should  $\operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{Alg}_k}(T, -)$  completely determine T?
  - Again, think  $X \in \text{Top}$ . Most homeomorphism-invariants we know look like  $\text{Hom}_{\text{Top}}(-, X)$ :
    - Cardinality:  $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{Top}}(\{*\}, X)$
    - Path components:  $\operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{Top}}(I, X) / \sim$
    - Fundamental groups: Hom<sub>**Top**</sub> $(S^1, X) / \sim$
    - Higher homotopy groups:  $\operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{Top}}(S^i, X) / \sim$
  - If we range  $\operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{Top}}(Y, X_1)$  and  $\operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{Top}}(Y, X_2)$  over all  $Y \in \operatorname{Top}$ , then either we find some homeomorphism-invariant so that  $X_1 \not\cong X_2$ , or we don't. And then:

- 2 Why should  $\operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{Alg}_k}(T, -)$  completely determine T?
  - Again, think  $X \in \text{Top}$ . Most homeomorphism-invariants we know look like  $\text{Hom}_{\text{Top}}(-, X)$ :
    - Cardinality:  $\operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{Top}}(\{*\}, X)$
    - Path components:  $\operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{Top}}(I, X) / \sim$
    - Fundamental groups: Hom<sub>**Top**</sub> $(S^1, X) / \sim$
    - Higher homotopy groups:  $\operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{Top}}(S^i, X) / \sim$
  - If we range  $\operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{Top}}(Y, X_1)$  and  $\operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{Top}}(Y, X_2)$  over all  $Y \in \operatorname{Top}$ , then either we find some homeomorphism-invariant so that  $X_1 \not\cong X_2$ , or we don't. And then:

**Yoneda Lemma/Corollary.** In any category C,  $X_1 \cong X_2$  if and only if  $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(-, X_1) \cong \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(-, X_2)$ .

<□ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

**3** Why is  $Alg_k$  backwards compared to **Top**? (Schemes!)

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ - 三 - のへぐ

- **3** Why is  $Alg_k$  backwards compared to **Top**? (Schemes!)
  - Does that mess with the Yoneda Lemma at all? No, work in the opposite category.

イロト (日下 (日下 (日下 )))

- **3** Why is  $Alg_k$  backwards compared to **Top**? (Schemes!)
  - Does that mess with the Yoneda Lemma at all? No, work in the opposite category.
- 4 When we have a functor of the form  $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(T, -) : \mathcal{C} \to \operatorname{\mathbf{Set}}$ , we say that T represents the functor.

<□ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Let  $R \in \mathbf{Alg}_k$ .

< ロ > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > < ○ < ○ </p>

Let  $R \in \mathbf{Alg}_k$ . Define the *m*th jet algebra  $J^m R$  to be the representing object of the functor  $S \mapsto \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{Alg}_k}(R, S[t]/t^{m+1})$ . In other words:

▲ロト ▲周ト ▲ヨト ▲ヨト - ヨ - のへで

Let  $R \in \mathbf{Alg}_k$ . Define the *m*th jet algebra  $J^m R$  to be the representing object of the functor  $S \mapsto \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{Alg}_k}(R, S[t]/t^{m+1})$ . In other words:

 $\operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{Alg}_k}(J^m R, S) \cong \operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{Alg}_k}(R, S[t]/t^{m+1}).$ 

Let  $R \in \mathbf{Alg}_k$ . Define the *m*th jet algebra  $J^m R$  to be the representing object of the functor  $S \mapsto \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{Alg}_k}(R, S[t]/t^{m+1})$ . In other words:

 $\operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{Alg}_k}(J^m R, S) \cong \operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{Alg}_k}(R, S[t]/t^{m+1}).$ 

Define the arc algebra  $J^{\infty}R$  to be the representing object of the functor  $S \mapsto \operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{Alg}_k}(R, S[t])$ . In other words:

Let  $R \in \mathbf{Alg}_k$ . Define the *m*th jet algebra  $J^m R$  to be the representing object of the functor  $S \mapsto \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{Alg}_k}(R, S[t]/t^{m+1})$ . In other words:

 $\operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{Alg}_k}(J^m R, S) \cong \operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{Alg}_k}(R, S[t]/t^{m+1}).$ 

Define the arc algebra  $J^{\infty}R$  to be the representing object of the functor  $S \mapsto \operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{Alg}_k}(R, S[\![t]\!])$ . In other words:

 $\operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{Alg}_k}(J^{\infty}R, S) \cong \operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{Alg}_k}(R, S[\![t]\!]).$ 

・ロト ・ 日 ・ ・ 日 ・ ・ 日 ・ ・ 日 ・ ・ の へ ()・

うして ふゆ アメリア メリア しょうくしゃ

Let  $R \in \mathbf{Alg}_k$ . Define the *m*th jet algebra  $J^m R$  to be the representing object of the functor  $S \mapsto \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{Alg}_k}(R, S[t]/t^{m+1})$ . In other words:

 $\operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{Alg}_k}(J^m R, S) \cong \operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{Alg}_k}(R, S[t]/t^{m+1}).$ 

Define the arc algebra  $J^{\infty}R$  to be the representing object of the functor  $S \mapsto \operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{Alg}_k}(R, S[t])$ . In other words:

 $\operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{Alg}_k}(J^{\infty}R, S) \cong \operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{Alg}_k}(R, S[[t]]).$ 

Do  $J^m R$  and  $J^{\infty} R$  exist?

Easy example: let m = 0; what is  $J^0 R$ ?

Easy example: let m = 0; what is  $J^0 R$ ?

$$\operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{Alg}_k}(J^0R, S) \cong \operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{Alg}_k}(R, S[t]/t^{0+1})$$

Easy example: let m = 0; what is  $J^0 R$ ?

$$\operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{Alg}_k}(J^0R, S) \cong \operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{Alg}_k}(R, S[t]/t^{0+1})$$
$$\cong \operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{Alg}_k}(R, S)$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲三▶ ▲三▶ 三三 - のへぐ

Easy example: let m = 0; what is  $J^0 R$ ?

$$\operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{Alg}_k}(J^0R, S) \cong \operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{Alg}_k}(R, S[t]/t^{0+1})$$
$$\cong \operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{Alg}_k}(R, S)$$

Representing objects are unique up to isomorphism, so  $J^0 R \cong R$  for any R.

Medium example: let m = 2; what is  $J^2k[x, y]$ ?

Medium example: let m = 2; what is  $J^2k[x, y]$ ?

 $\operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{Alg}_k}(J^2k[x,y],S) \cong \operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{Alg}_k}(k[x,y],S[t]/t^{2+1})$ 

Medium example: let m = 2; what is  $J^2k[x, y]$ ?

 $\operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{Alg}_k}(J^2k[x,y],S) \cong \operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{Alg}_k}(k[x,y],S[t]/t^{2+1})$ 

A map  $k[x, y] \to S[t]/t^3$  is given by

$$x \mapsto a_0 + a_1 t + a_2 t^2$$
$$y \mapsto b_0 + b_1 t + b_2 t^2.$$
Medium example: let m = 2; what is  $J^2k[x, y]$ ?

 $\operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{Alg}_k}(J^2k[x,y],S) \cong \operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{Alg}_k}(k[x,y],S[t]/t^{2+1})$ 

A map  $k[x, y] \to S[t]/t^3$  is given by

$$x \mapsto a_0 + a_1 t + a_2 t^2$$
$$y \mapsto b_0 + b_1 t + b_2 t^2.$$

Thus  $k[x, y] \to S[t]/t^3$  is the same as  $k[a_0, a_1, a_2, b_0, b_1, b_2] \to S$ . Therefore

Medium example: let m = 2; what is  $J^2k[x, y]$ ?

 $\operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{Alg}_k}(J^2k[x,y],S) \cong \operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{Alg}_k}(k[x,y],S[t]/t^{2+1})$ 

A map  $k[x, y] \to S[t]/t^3$  is given by

$$x \mapsto a_0 + a_1 t + a_2 t^2$$
$$y \mapsto b_0 + b_1 t + b_2 t^2.$$

Thus  $k[x, y] \to S[t]/t^3$  is the same as  $k[a_0, a_1, a_2, b_0, b_1, b_2] \to S$ . Therefore

$$\operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{Alg}_k}(J^2k[x,y],S) \cong \operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{Alg}_k}(k[x,y],S[t]/t^3)$$

Medium example: let m = 2; what is  $J^2k[x, y]$ ?

 $\operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{Alg}_k}(J^2k[x,y],S) \cong \operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{Alg}_k}(k[x,y],S[t]/t^{2+1})$ 

A map  $k[x, y] \to S[t]/t^3$  is given by

$$x \mapsto a_0 + a_1 t + a_2 t^2$$
$$y \mapsto b_0 + b_1 t + b_2 t^2.$$

Thus  $k[x, y] \to S[t]/t^3$  is the same as  $k[a_0, a_1, a_2, b_0, b_1, b_2] \to S$ . Therefore

$$\operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{Alg}_k}(J^2k[x,y],S) \cong \operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{Alg}_k}(k[x,y],S[t]/t^3)$$
$$\cong \operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{Alg}_k}(k[a_0,a_1,a_2,b_0,b_1,b_2],S)$$

Medium example: let m = 2; what is  $J^2k[x, y]$ ?

 $\operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{Alg}_k}(J^2k[x,y],S) \cong \operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{Alg}_k}(k[x,y],S[t]/t^{2+1})$ 

A map  $k[x, y] \to S[t]/t^3$  is given by

$$x \mapsto a_0 + a_1 t + a_2 t^2$$
$$y \mapsto b_0 + b_1 t + b_2 t^2.$$

Thus  $k[x, y] \to S[t]/t^3$  is the same as  $k[a_0, a_1, a_2, b_0, b_1, b_2] \to S$ . Therefore

$$\operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{Alg}_k}(J^2k[x,y],S) \cong \operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{Alg}_k}(k[x,y],S[t]/t^3)$$
$$\cong \operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{Alg}_k}(k[a_0,a_1,a_2,b_0,b_1,b_2],S)$$

 $J^{2}k[x,y] \cong k[a_{0},a_{1},a_{2},b_{0},b_{1},b_{2}]$ 

# Examples of jets and arcs Well-done example: let m = 2; what is $J^2k[x, y]/(xy)$ ?

Well-done example: let m = 2; what is  $J^2k[x, y]/(xy)$ ?

 $\operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{\mathbf{Alg}}_k}(J^2k[x,y]/(xy),S)\cong \operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{\mathbf{Alg}}_k}(k[x,y]/(xy),S[t]/t^{2+1})$ 

Well-done example: let m = 2; what is  $J^2k[x, y]/(xy)$ ?

 $\operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{\mathbf{Alg}}_k}(J^2k[x,y]/(xy),S)\cong \operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{\mathbf{Alg}}_k}(k[x,y]/(xy),S[t]/t^{2+1})$ 

We still have

$$x \mapsto a_0 + a_1 t + a_2 t^2$$
$$y \mapsto b_0 + b_1 t + b_2 t^2,$$

< ロ > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > < ○ < ○ </p>

Well-done example: let m = 2; what is  $J^2k[x, y]/(xy)$ ?

 $\operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{\mathbf{Alg}}_k}(J^2k[x,y]/(xy),S)\cong \operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{\mathbf{Alg}}_k}(k[x,y]/(xy),S[t]/t^{2+1})$ 

We still have

$$x \mapsto a_0 + a_1 t + a_2 t^2$$
$$y \mapsto b_0 + b_1 t + b_2 t^2,$$

but now subject to the relation

$$(a_0 + a_1t + a_2t^2)(b_0 + b_1t + b_2t^2) = 0 \in S[t]/t^3$$

Well-done example: let m = 2; what is  $J^2k[x, y]/(xy)$ ?

 $\operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{\mathbf{Alg}}_k}(J^2k[x,y]/(xy),S)\cong \operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{\mathbf{Alg}}_k}(k[x,y]/(xy),S[t]/t^{2+1})$ 

We still have

$$x \mapsto a_0 + a_1 t + a_2 t^2$$
$$y \mapsto b_0 + b_1 t + b_2 t^2,$$

but now subject to the relation

$$(a_0 + a_1t + a_2t^2)(b_0 + b_1t + b_2t^2) = 0 \in S[t]/t^3$$

Distributing and grouping yields

$$a_0b_0 + (a_0b_1 + a_1b_0)t + (a_0b_2 + a_1b_1 + a_2b_0)t^2 = 0,$$

Well-done example: let m = 2; what is  $J^2k[x, y]/(xy)$ ?

 $\operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{\mathbf{Alg}}_k}(J^2k[x,y]/(xy),S)\cong \operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{\mathbf{Alg}}_k}(k[x,y]/(xy),S[t]/t^{2+1})$ 

We still have

$$x \mapsto a_0 + a_1 t + a_2 t^2$$
$$y \mapsto b_0 + b_1 t + b_2 t^2,$$

but now subject to the relation

$$(a_0 + a_1t + a_2t^2)(b_0 + b_1t + b_2t^2) = 0 \in S[t]/t^3$$

Distributing and grouping yields

$$a_0b_0 + (a_0b_1 + a_1b_0)t + (a_0b_2 + a_1b_1 + a_2b_0)t^2 = 0,$$
  
so  $a_0b_0 = a_0b_1 + a_1b_0 = a_0b_2 + a_1b_1 + a_2b_0 = 0.$ 

< ロ > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > < ○ < ○ </p>

Therefore the map  $k[x,y]/(xy) \to S[t]/t^3$  is the same as a map  $k[a_0, a_1, a_2, b_0, b_1, b_2]/(a_0b_0, a_0b_1 + a_1b_0, a_0b_2 + a_1b_1 + a_2b_0) \to S.$ 

Therefore the map  $k[x,y]/(xy) \to S[t]/t^3$  is the same as a map  $k[a_0, a_1, a_2, b_0, b_1, b_2]/(a_0b_0, a_0b_1 + a_1b_0, a_0b_2 + a_1b_1 + a_2b_0) \to S$ .

 $\operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{Alg}_k}(J^2k[x,y]/(xy),S) \cong \operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{Alg}_k}(k[x,y]/(xy),S[t]/t^3)$ 

Therefore the map  $k[x,y]/(xy) \to S[t]/t^3$  is the same as a map  $k[a_0, a_1, a_2, b_0, b_1, b_2]/(a_0b_0, a_0b_1 + a_1b_0, a_0b_2 + a_1b_1 + a_2b_0) \to S.$ 

 $\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{\mathbf{Alg}}_k}(J^2k[x,y]/(xy),S) &\cong \operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{\mathbf{Alg}}_k}(k[x,y]/(xy),S[t]/t^3) \\ &\cong \operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{\mathbf{Alg}}_k}(k[\underline{a},\underline{b}]/I,S) \end{aligned}$ 

Therefore the map  $k[x, y]/(xy) \rightarrow S[t]/t^3$  is the same as a map  $k[a_0, a_1, a_2, b_0, b_1, b_2]/(a_0b_0, a_0b_1 + a_1b_0, a_0b_2 + a_1b_1 + a_2b_0) \rightarrow S.$   $\operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{Alg}_k}(J^2k[x, y]/(xy), S) \cong \operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{Alg}_k}(k[x, y]/(xy), S[t]/t^3)$  $\cong \operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{Alg}_k}(k[\underline{a}, \underline{b}]/I, S)$ 

 $\operatorname{So}$ 

$$J^{2k}[x,y]_{\swarrow}(xy) \cong k[a_0,a_1,a_2,b_0,b_1,b_2]_{\swarrow}(a_0b_0,a_0b_1+a_1b_0,a_0b_2+a_1b_1+a_2b_0) \cdot k[a_0,a_0b_1+a_1b_0,a_0b_2+a_1b_1+a_2b_0) \cdot k[a_0,a_0b_1+a_1b_0,a_0b_2+a_0b_1+a_1b_0,a_0b_2+a_0b_1+a_0b_0) \cdot k[a_0,a_0b_1+a_0b_0,a_0b_1+a_0b_0,a_0b_1+a_0b_0) \cdot k[a_0,a_0b_1+a_0b_0,a_0b_1+a_0b_0,a_0b_1+a_0b_0,a_0b_1+a_0b_0,a_0b_1+a_0b_0) \cdot k[a_0,a_0b_1+a_0b_0,a_0b_0,a_0b_1+a_0b_0,a_$$

How do we glean any meaningful info out of the previous examples?

How do we glean any meaningful info out of the previous examples?

A relabeling of variables will enlighten us:

How do we glean any meaningful info out of the previous examples?

A relabeling of variables will enlighten us:

 $J^{2k}[x,y]_{(xy)} \cong k[a_0,a_1,a_2,b_0,b_1,b_2]_{(a_0b_0,a_0b_1+a_1b_0,a_0b_2+a_1b_1+a_2b_0)} \cdot$ 

How do we glean any meaningful info out of the previous examples?

A relabeling of variables will enlighten us:

 $J^{2k}[x,y]_{(xy)} \cong k[a_0, a_1, a_2, b_0, b_1, b_2]_{(a_0b_0, a_0b_1 + a_1b_0, a_0b_2 + a_1b_1 + a_2b_0)}$  $J^{2k}[x,y]_{(xy)} \cong k[x, x', x'', y, y', y'']_{(xy, xy' + x'y, xy'' + x'y' + x''y)}$ 

・ロト・西ト・ボッ・ボー・ しょうくろ

How do we glean any meaningful info out of the previous examples?

A relabeling of variables will enlighten us:

 $J^{2k[x,y]}(xy) \cong k[a_0, a_1, a_2, b_0, b_1, b_2](a_0b_0, a_0b_1 + a_1b_0, a_0b_2 + a_1b_1 + a_2b_0)$  $J^{2k[x,y]}(xy) \cong k[x, x', x'', y, y', y''](xy, xy' + x'y, xy'' + x'y' + x''y)$ Derivatives!

How do we glean any meaningful info out of the previous examples?

A relabeling of variables will enlighten us:

 $J^{2k[x,y]}(xy) \cong k[a_0, a_1, a_2, b_0, b_1, b_2] / (a_0b_0, a_0b_1 + a_1b_0, a_0b_2 + a_1b_1 + a_2b_0) \cdot J^{2k[x,y]}(xy) \cong k[x, x', x'', y, y', y''] / (xy, xy' + x'y, xy'' + x'y' + x''y) \cdot J^{2k[x,y]}(xy) = k[x, x', x'', y, y', y''] / (xy, xy' + x'y, xy'' + x'y' + x''y) \cdot J^{2k[x,y]}(xy) = k[x, x', x'', y, y', y''] / (xy, xy' + x'y, xy'' + x'y' + x''y) \cdot J^{2k[x,y]}(xy) = k[x, x', x'', y, y', y''] / (xy, xy' + x'y, xy'' + x'y' + x''y) \cdot J^{2k[x,y]}(xy) = k[x, x', x'', y, y', y''] / (xy, xy' + x'y, xy'' + x'y' + x''y) \cdot J^{2k[x,y]}(xy) = k[x, x', x'', y, y', y''] / (xy, xy' + x'y, xy'' + x'y' + x''y) \cdot J^{2k[x,y]}(xy) = k[x, x', x'', y, y', y''] / (xy, xy' + x'y, xy'' + x'y' + x''y) \cdot J^{2k[x,y]}(xy) = k[x, x', x'', y, y', y''] / (xy, xy' + x'y, xy'' + x'y' + x''y) \cdot J^{2k[x,y]}(xy) = k[x, x', x'', y, y', y''] / (xy, xy' + x'y, xy'' + x'y' + x''y) \cdot J^{2k[x,y]}(xy) = k[x, x', x'', y, y', y''] / (xy, xy' + x'y, xy'' + x'y' + x''y) \cdot J^{2k[x,y]}(xy) = k[x, x', x'', y, y''] / (xy) + x'y' + x'y' + x''y) \cdot J^{2k[x,y]}(xy) = k[x, x', x'', y, y''] / (xy) + x'y' + x'y' + x''y) \cdot J^{2k[x,y]}(xy) = k[x, x', x'', y, y''] / (xy) + x'y' + x'y' + x''y) \cdot J^{2k[x,y]}(xy) = k[x, x', x'', y, y''] / (xy) + x'y' + x'y' + x''y) \cdot J^{2k[x,y]}(xy) = k[x, x', x'', y, y''] / (xy) + x'y' + x'y' + x''y) \cdot J^{2k[x,y]}(xy) = k[x, x', x'', y, y''] / (xy) + x'y' + x'y' + x''y') \cdot J^{2k[x,y]}(xy) = k[x, x', x'', y, y''] / (xy) + x'y' + x'y' + x''y' + x''y') \cdot J^{2k[x,y]}(xy) = k[x, x', x'', y, y''] / (xy) + x'y' + x''y' +$ 

Derivatives!

In fact, if char  $k \neq 2$ , a change of variables allows us:

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲三▶ ▲三▶ 三 のへぐ

How do we glean any meaningful info out of the previous examples?

A relabeling of variables will enlighten us:

 $J^{2k}[x,y]_{(xy)} \cong k[a_0, a_1, a_2, b_0, b_1, b_2]_{(a_0b_0, a_0b_1 + a_1b_0, a_0b_2 + a_1b_1 + a_2b_0)} \cdot a_{2k}[x,y]_{(xy)} \cong k[x,y]_{(xy)} \cong k[x,y]_{(xy)} = k[x,y]_{(xy)} \cdot a_{2k}[x,y]_{(xy)} \cdot a_{2k}[x,y]_{(xy)} = k[x,y]_{(xy)} \cdot a_{2k}[x,y]_{(xy)} \cdot a_{2k}[x,y]_{(xy)} = k[x,y]_{(xy)} \cdot a_{2k}[x,y]_{(xy)} \cdot a_{2k}$ 

$$J^{2k[x,y]}(xy) \cong {}^{k[x,x',x'',y,y',y'']}(xy,xy'+x'y,xy''+x'y'+x''y)$$

Derivatives! In fact, if char  $k \neq 2$ , a change of variables allows us:

$$J^{2k}[x,y]_{(xy)} \cong {}^{k}[x,x',x'',y,y',y'']_{(xy,xy'+x'y,xy''+2x'y'+x''y)}$$

How do we glean any meaningful info out of the previous examples?

A relabeling of variables will enlighten us:

 $J^{2k}[x,y]_{(xy)} \cong k[a_0, a_1, a_2, b_0, b_1, b_2]_{(a_0b_0, a_0b_1 + a_1b_0, a_0b_2 + a_1b_1 + a_2b_0)}$ 

$$J^{2k[x,y]}(xy) \cong {}^{k[x,x',x'',y,y',y'']}(xy,xy'+x'y,xy''+x'y')$$

Derivatives! In fact, if char  $k \neq 2$ , a change of variables allows us:

$$J^{2k[x,y]}(xy) \cong k[x,x',x'',y,y',y''](xy,xy'+x'y,xy''+2x'y'+x''y) \cdot J^{2k[x,y]}(xy) \cong k[x,x',x'',y,y',y''](xy,(xy)',(xy)'') \cdot J^{2k[x,y]}(xy) \cong k[x,x',x'',y,y',y''](xy,(xy)',(xy)'') \cdot J^{2k[x,y]}(xy) = k[x,x',x'',y,y',y''](xy) + k[x,y',y''](xy) + k[x,y''](xy) + k[x,y''](xy) + k[x,y''](xy) + k[x,y''](xy) +$$

<□ > < @ > < E > < E > E のQ@

How do we glean any meaningful info out of the previous examples?

A relabeling of variables will enlighten us:

 $J^{2k}[x,y]_{(xy)} \cong k[a_0, a_1, a_2, b_0, b_1, b_2]_{(a_0b_0, a_0b_1 + a_1b_0, a_0b_2 + a_1b_1 + a_2b_0)}$ 

$$J^{2k[x,y]}(xy) \cong {}^{k[x,x,x',y,y',y']}(xy,xy'+x'y,xy''+x'y'+x''y)$$

Derivatives! In fact, if char  $k \neq 2$ , a change of variables allows us:

$$J^{2k[x,y]}(xy) \cong k[x,x',x'',y,y',y''](xy,xy'+x'y,xy''+2x'y'+x''y)$$
$$J^{2k[x,y]}(xy) \cong k[x,x',x'',y,y',y''](xy,(xy)',(xy)'')$$

#### DERIVATIVES!

This holds for all our computed examples:

This holds for all our computed examples:

•  $J^0 R \cong R$  is the 0th order derivatives

This holds for all our computed examples:

- $J^0 R \cong R$  is the 0th order derivatives
- $\bullet \ J^2k[x,y]\cong k[x,x',x'',y,y',y'']$

This holds for all our computed examples:

- $J^0 R \cong R$  is the 0th order derivatives
- $\bullet \ J^2k[x,y]\cong k[x,x',x'',y,y',y'']$
- $J^2k[x,y]/(xy) \cong k[x,x',x'',y,y',y'']/(xy,(xy)',(xy)'')$

This holds for all our computed examples:

•  $J^0 R \cong R$  is the 0th order derivatives

• 
$$J^2k[x,y] \cong k[x,x',x'',y,y',y'']$$

•  $J^2k[x,y]/(xy) \cong k[x,x',x'',y,y',y'']/(xy,(xy)',(xy)'')$ 

**Theorem.** If  $R = k[x_{\alpha}]/(f_{\beta})$  for indices  $\alpha, \beta$ , then

$$J^m R \cong k[x_{\alpha}, x_{\alpha}', \dots, x_{\alpha}^{(m)}] / (f_{\beta}, f_{\beta}', \dots, f_{\beta}^{(m)})$$

and

$$J^{\infty}R \cong k[x_{\alpha}, x_{\alpha}', \ldots]/(f_{\beta}, f_{\beta}', \ldots).$$

◆□ ▶ ◆昼 ▶ ◆ 臣 ▶ ● 臣 ● � � � � �

Jets and arcs are really thought of as spaces, as schemes.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ - 三 - のへぐ

Jets and arcs are really thought of as spaces, as schemes. Quick overview:

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ - 三 - のへぐ

Jets and arcs are really thought of as spaces, as schemes. Quick overview:

•  $\operatorname{Alg}_k \cong \operatorname{AffSch}_k^{op}$ 

< ロ > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > < ○ < ○ </p>

Jets and arcs are really thought of as spaces, as schemes. Quick overview:

- $\mathbf{Alg}_k \cong \mathbf{AffSch}_k^{op}$
- Spec  $R \in \mathbf{AffSch}_k$  is a (Zariski) topological space whose points are  $\mathfrak{p} \subseteq R$ , along with a sheaf of rings  $\mathcal{O}_{\operatorname{Spec} R}$

< ロ > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > < ○ < ○ </p>

Jets and arcs are really thought of as spaces, as schemes. Quick overview:

- $\mathbf{Alg}_k \cong \mathbf{AffSch}_k^{op}$
- Spec  $R \in \mathbf{AffSch}_k$  is a (Zariski) topological space whose points are  $\mathfrak{p} \subseteq R$ , along with a sheaf of rings  $\mathcal{O}_{\operatorname{Spec} R}$
- A generic scheme  $X \in \mathbf{Sch}_k$  is built by gluing affine k-schemes together

うして ふゆ アメリア トレー ひゃく

Jets and arcs are really thought of as spaces, as schemes. Quick overview:

- $\mathbf{Alg}_k \cong \mathbf{AffSch}_k^{op}$
- Spec  $R \in \mathbf{AffSch}_k$  is a (Zariski) topological space whose points are  $\mathfrak{p} \subseteq R$ , along with a sheaf of rings  $\mathcal{O}_{\operatorname{Spec} R}$
- A generic scheme  $X \in \mathbf{Sch}_k$  is built by gluing affine k-schemes together

We know that for affine schemes, we can cook up jet spaces and arc spaces.

 $\operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{\mathbf{Sch}}_k}(\operatorname{Spec} S, J^m \operatorname{Spec} R) \cong \operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{\mathbf{Sch}}_k}(\operatorname{Spec} S[t]/t^{m+1}, \operatorname{Spec} R).$ 

Jets and arcs are really thought of as spaces, as schemes. Quick overview:

- $\mathbf{Alg}_k \cong \mathbf{AffSch}_k^{op}$
- Spec  $R \in \mathbf{AffSch}_k$  is a (Zariski) topological space whose points are  $\mathfrak{p} \subseteq R$ , along with a sheaf of rings  $\mathcal{O}_{\operatorname{Spec} R}$
- A generic scheme  $X \in \mathbf{Sch}_k$  is built by gluing affine k-schemes together

We know that for affine schemes, we can cook up jet spaces and arc spaces.

 $\operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{\mathbf{Sch}}_k}(\operatorname{Spec} S, J^m \operatorname{Spec} R) \cong \operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{\mathbf{Sch}}_k}(\operatorname{Spec} S[t]/t^{m+1}, \operatorname{Spec} R).$ 

What about a generic (not necessarily affine) scheme?

Let X be a k-scheme. It has an affine cover  $\{U_i = \text{Spec } R_i\}$ .
Let X be a k-scheme. It has an affine cover  $\{U_i = \text{Spec } R_i\}$ . We know  $J^m U_i$  exists. If we could glue  $J^m U_i$ s together, we'd have a candidate representing object for the *m*th jet of X.

Let X be a k-scheme. It has an affine cover  $\{U_i = \text{Spec } R_i\}$ . We know  $J^m U_i$  exists. If we could glue  $J^m U_i$ s together, we'd have a candidate representing object for the *m*th jet of X.

• First, observe that for any scheme Y, there is a canonical projection  $\pi_m: J^m Y \to Y$  induced by  $S[t]/t^{m+1} \to S$ .

Let X be a k-scheme. It has an affine cover  $\{U_i = \text{Spec } R_i\}$ . We know  $J^m U_i$  exists. If we could glue  $J^m U_i$ s together, we'd have a candidate representing object for the *m*th jet of X.

- First, observe that for any scheme Y, there is a canonical projection  $\pi_m: J^m Y \to Y$  induced by  $S[t]/t^{m+1} \to S$ .
- Next, we claim for any open  $V \subseteq X$ ,  $J^m V \cong \pi_m^{-1} V$ .

Let X be a k-scheme. It has an affine cover  $\{U_i = \text{Spec } R_i\}$ . We know  $J^m U_i$  exists. If we could glue  $J^m U_i$ s together, we'd have a candidate representing object for the *m*th jet of X.

- First, observe that for any scheme Y, there is a canonical projection  $\pi_m: J^m Y \to Y$  induced by  $S[t]/t^{m+1} \to S$ .
- Next, we claim for any open  $V \subseteq X$ ,  $J^m V \cong \pi_m^{-1} V$ .

Proof.

 $\operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{\mathbf{Sch}}_k}(\operatorname{Spec} S, J^m X) \cong \operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{\mathbf{Sch}}_k}(\operatorname{Spec} S[t]/t^{m+1}, X).$ 

A map Spec  $S[t]/t^{m+1} \to X$  factors through V if and only if Spec  $S \to \text{Spec } S[t]/t^{m+1} \to X$  factors through V.

イロト (日下 (日下 (日下 )))

So now we have a scheme X, an affine cover  $\{U_i\}$ , and a characterization of the m jets of any  $V \subseteq X$ ; when they exist, they are  $J^m V \cong \pi_m^{-1} V$ .

イロト (日下 (日下 (日下 )))

So now we have a scheme X, an affine cover  $\{U_i\}$ , and a characterization of the m jets of any  $V \subseteq X$ ; when they exist, they are  $J^m V \cong \pi_m^{-1} V$ .

By our characterization, for all i and j,  $J^m(U_i \cap U_j)$  is both

$$\pi_m^{i^{-1}}(U_i \cap U_j)$$

and

$$\pi_m^{j^{-1}}(U_i \cap U_j).$$

So the jets of the affine cover canonically agree along their intersections.

So now we have a scheme X, an affine cover  $\{U_i\}$ , and a characterization of the m jets of any  $V \subseteq X$ ; when they exist, they are  $J^m V \cong \pi_m^{-1} V$ .

By our characterization, for all i and j,  $J^m(U_i \cap U_j)$  is both

$$\pi_m^{i^{-1}}(U_i \cap U_j)$$

and

$$\pi_m^{j^{-1}}(U_i \cap U_j).$$

So the jets of the affine cover canonically agree along their intersections.

Thus we can glue all the  $\{J^m U_i\}$  along these intersections to get a well-defined scheme.

It is then straightforward to see that this scheme, which we will call  $J^m X$ , is the representing object of the functor  $S \mapsto \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{Sch}_k}(\text{Spec } S[t]/t^{m+1}, X).$ 

▲ロト ▲周ト ▲ヨト ▲ヨト - ヨ - のへで

It is then straightforward to see that this scheme, which we will call  $J^m X$ , is the representing object of the functor  $S \mapsto \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{Sch}_k}(\text{Spec } S[t]/t^{m+1}, X)$ . In other words:

 $\operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{\mathbf{Sch}}_k}(\operatorname{Spec} S, J^m X) \cong \operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{\mathbf{Sch}}_k}(\operatorname{Spec} S[t]/t^{m+1}, X).$ 

# Thank you!

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ - 三 - のへぐ

Lawrence Ein and Mircea Mustață. *Jet schemes and singularities.* Proceedings of Symposia in Pure Mathematics, p. 505-546. 2009. AMS.